Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643)	Glenn D. Pomerantz (SBN 112503)	
bglackin@agutah.gov	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com	
	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
	350 South Grand Avenue, Fiftieth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071	
GENERAL	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	
	D : G D (GDN 221576)	
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872	Brian C. Rocca (SBN 221576) brian.rocca@morganlewis.com	
Telephone: (801) 366-0260	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
Counsel for the Plaintiff States	One Market, Spear Street Tower	
	San Francisco, CA 94105-1596 Telephone: (415) 442-1000	
	1616phone. (113) 112 1000	
	Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.	
HARTED CTATEC	DISTRICT COURT	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.,	ORDER RE: GOOGLE-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS IN <i>UNITED STATES V</i> .	
Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD	GOOGLE LLC	
	Judge: Hon. James Donato	
WHEREAS Defendants have agreed that, subject to the procedure outlined in this		
stipulation, the Plaintiff States may produce to the non-State Plaintiffs (the "Private Plaintiffs") in		
the above-captioned MDL certain documents Defendants initially produced in the matters <i>United</i>		
States v. Google LLC, No. 03010 (D.D.C.) and Colorado, et al. v. Google LLC, No. 03715		
(D.D.C.) (collectively, the "Search Matter"), excluding documents any non-party produced to any		
of the parties in the Search Matter;		
	1- Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD	
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: GOOGLE-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS IN UNITED STATES V. GOOGLE LLC		
	bglackin@agutah.gov Lauren M. Weinstein (pro hac vice) lweinstein@agutah.gov OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL 160 E 300 S, 5th Floor PO Box 140872 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872 Telephone: (801) 366-0260 Counsel for the Plaintiff States NORTHERN DISTRI SAN FRANCIS IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD WHEREAS Defendants have agreed that. stipulation, the Plaintiff States may produce to the the above-captioned MDL certain documents De States v. Google LLC, No. 03010 (D.D.C.) and C. (D.D.C.) (collectively, the "Search Matter"), exc. of the parties in the Search Matter;	

NOW, THEREFORE, Defendants and the Plaintiff States hereby stipulate and agree, subject to the Court's approval, as follows:

- 1. To the extent the Plaintiff States seek to use in this MDL any of the documents produced by Defendants in the Search Matter that were not produced by Defendants during discovery in this MDL (hereinafter, "Search Matter Documents"), the Plaintiff States will produce such Search Matter Documents no later than 90 days before the first day of trial, and, if Plaintiffs plan to rely on the Search Matter Documents in connection with motions for summary judgment, Plaintiffs will produce such Search Matter Documents no later than two weeks before opening summary judgment briefs are due to the extent Plaintiffs can in good faith identify or anticipate using those documents. If Plaintiffs identify additional Search Matter Documents that they will rely on in connection with summary judgment after seeing opening briefs, Plaintiffs will produce those documents no later than two weeks before summary judgment opposition briefs are due. Plaintiffs States will adhere to the following protocol:
- a. The Plaintiff States shall not "dump" the Search Matter Documents into this MDL or otherwise give to the Private Plaintiffs unfettered access to documents produced in the Search Matter;
- b. The Plaintiff States may produce to the Private Plaintiffs Search Matter

 Documents which the Plaintiff States believe in good faith are reasonably related to their claims or

 Defendants' defenses in the MDL, and which the Plaintiff States reasonably believe may be used
 as evidence or as the basis for expert testimony in this MDL;
- c. Prior to any production by the Plaintiff States of Search Matter Documents in this MDL, the Plaintiff States shall give Google at least one week advance notice of such production, including a list of the beginning Bates numbers (from the Search Matter) planned for production in this MDL. If Defendants, in good faith, assert that the intended production is an untailored document "dump," or is otherwise improper, Plaintiff States agree to meet and confer in good faith with Defendants regarding the scope of the proposed production.
- d. The States shall provisionally label the Search Matter Documents with the highest level of protection under the operative protective order prior to disclosing or using the

 -2
 Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

1	document, as follows: "PROV – HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY"		
2	unless third-party information requires a "PROV – NON-PARTY HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –		
3	OUTSIDE COUNSEL EYES ONLY" designation;		
4	e. The States shall assign the Search Matter Document a Bates number		
5	specific to this MDL, while preserving the Bates numbering associated with the document as		
6	produced in the Search Matter;		
7	f. For purposes of preserving the rights and obligations under the operative		
8	Protective Order, Google shall be considered the Designating Party and/or Producing Party for any		
9			
10	g. The States shall produce any Search Matter Documents simultaneously to		
11	all parties to this MDL. For the avoidance of doubt, this requirement does not apply to Search		
12	Matter Documents produced by Defendants during discovery in the MDL, including Search		
13	Matter Documents eliminated from the Search Matter Production as duplicates of the pre-existing		
14	MDL production.		
15	2. Google reserves all rights as to any documents produced pursuant to this protocol,		
16	including the right to supplement its expert reports, served on November 18, 2022, with citation to		
17	any documents that the Plaintiff States produce thereafter pursuant to the protocol outlined hereing		
18	3. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be deemed a waiver of Defendants' right to seek a		
19	clawback of a document based on a good-faith claim of attorney-client privilege or work-product		
20			
21	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
22	DATED: April 18, 2023 OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL		
23	Brendan P. Glackin Lauren M. Weinstein		
24	Respectfully submitted,		
25			
26	Dan de de la companya		
27	By: /s/ Lauren M. Weinstein Lauren M. Weinstein		
28	Counsel for the Plaintiff States		
	-3- Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD		

1	2 3	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
2		Brian C. Rocca Sujal J. Shah
3		Michelle Park Chiu Minna L. Naranjo Rishi P. Satia
4		KISIII P. Saua
5		Respectfully submitted,
6		
7		By: /s/Brian C. Rocca
8		Brian C. Rocca Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
9		J J
10	DATED: April 18, 2023	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
11		Glenn D. Pomerantz Kyle W. Mach
12		Kuruvilla Olasa Justin P. Raphael
13		Emily C. Curran-Huberty
14		Jonathan I. Kravis Marianna Y. Mao
15		Respectfully submitted,
16		Respectivity submitted,
17		
18		By: /s/ Glenn D. Pomerantz Glenn D. Pomerantz
19		Counsel for Defendants Google LLC et al.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-4- Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	RE: GOOGLE-PRODUCED DOCUMENTS IN UNITED STATES V

E-FILING ATTESTATION I, Lauren M. Weinstein, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing. /s/ Lauren M. Weinstein
Lauren M. Weinstein

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: April _____, 2023 The Honorable James Donato United States District Judge Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD